•	Арр	lication No.	Appl	icant(s)	Abalm
Offic Action Summary		759,509	KNEI	BEL, WERNER	117010
		niner	Art U	Init	
		ng Q. Nguyen	2872		
Th MAILING DATE of this com	munication appears o	on the cover st	eet with the corresp	ondence addre	ISS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 Status	AUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir s communication. hitry (30) days, a reply within the four statutory period will apply or reply will, by statute, cause to the four the mailing date of	no event, however he statutory minimu and will expire SIX he application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be (6) MONTHS from the mail come ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ing date of this comm .S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on 21 Februa	ary 2002 .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This acti	on is non-fina			
3) Since this application is in con closed in accordance with the					nerits is
Disposition of Claims A\⊠ Claim(s) 1.43 is/ore pending in	the application				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s)		m consideration	nn.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ is/are withdrawn no	m considerati	JII.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to res		n requiremen			
Application Papers	and/or cicon	ni roquiromen	•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to I	by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is	/are: a)□ accepted or	b) objected	to by the Examiner.	,	
Applicant may not request that ar	ny objection to the draw	ing(s) be held i	abeyance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).	•
11) The proposed drawing correction	n filed on is: a)	☐ approved	b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings a	are required in reply to t	his Office action	1.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is object	ed to by the Examine	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) (or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	e of:				
1. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents have	e been receive	ed.		
2. Certified copies of the pri	ionty documents have	e been receive	ed in Application No	· ·	
3. Copies of the certified co application from the I* See the attached detailed Office	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	his National Sta	age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cl		·		a provisional ar	onlication)
a) The translation of the foreign	n language provision	nal application	has been received		, p
15) Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic pho	inty under 33 (5.0.0. 33 120 and/	JI 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14		5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO- ptice of Informal Patent / her:		

Application/Control Number: 09/759,509

Art Unit: 2872

TAILED ACTION

Response to The Petition

1. The present Office action is made in response to the Petition (Paper No. 4) filed by applicant on 02/21/2002. It is also noted that the Petition was granted by the office as set forth in the Office action (Paper No. 6) mailed to applicant on 04/08/2002.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. It is noted that in the restriction requirement (Paper No. 2) mailed to applicant on 11/30/2001, the Office action missed one section concerning the status of claims 1-11 in the restriction. The Examiner sincerely apologize for that advantage.
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 12-28, drawn to a microscope having two polarization systems
 disposed in specific manner with respect to a specimen, classified in class
 359, subclass 386.
 - II. Claims 29-43, drawn to a microscope having two light sources system disposed in a specific manner with respect to a specimen, classified in class 359, subclass 385. It is noted that while claim 31 discloses a polarization system; however, the claim does not provide specific features for the polarization system as the invention of the group I.
- 4. Claims 1-11 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-11. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including

Application/Control Number: 09/759,509

Art Unit: 2872

all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility for use in a microscope for polarizing illuminating light without the use of an additional light source as defined in the invention II, and the invention II has separate utility for use with a microscope having two light sources without the use of specific polarization systems as defined in the invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and different searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to George L. Snyder on 11/20/2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/759,509

Art Unit: 2872

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 308 4814. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703 308 1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Thong Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

April 30, 2002